ParShaT *EyQueV* One Pager Series

https://www.Rashiyomi.com/rule3718.pdf Adapted from The Rashi Newsletter, (c) Rashiyomi.com August 2022, Dr. Hendel, President, Full statement of copyright is found at www.Rashiyomi.com/copyrights.htm

[Background: We continue to bring controversies between Rashi and either academic scholars or other Jewish commentaries. Today we bring a Rashi-Ramban-Kli Yakar controversy.]

Rashi #1 Biblical Text: Dt07-11:12

| | Guard | The commandments, statutes, and civil laws | To <u>do</u> them | |
|-----------------|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|--|
| On the heels of | Intensely listening | To these <u>civil</u> laws | And guarding and doing them | God will guard for you the covenant |

The three commentators, Rashi, Ramban, and Kli Yakar read this second verse in three different ways. Let us review.

Rashi Text (Rashiyomi interpretation): Rashi translates - *heels of* as meaning *consequence*. Indeed, there are many biblical verses where *heels* means consequence. (e.g. Dt26-05). So Rashi is quite straightforward

- Rashi translates *tishmeun* as meaning *intensely listening*. That is
 - o Tishmeu means to listen
 - o Tishmeun means to intensely listen

Grammarians refer to the meaning of *intensely*, arising from a terminal *nun* suffix, as the *paragogic nun* or *nun intensificum*.

Rashi continues with his translation of the verse: "If you intensely listen to the commandments implying even to the light commandments which people belittle, then you will experience God guarding the covenant."

Rashi goes a step further and creates a pun: If you listen to the light commandments which people trample on with their heels, thus creating a link to the phrase heels of meaning consequence.

Ramban Text: Ramban exploits the parallelism shown in the table above. In the first line it mentions *commandments*, *civil laws*, *and statutes* while on the bottom line it only mentions *civil laws*.

Ramban points out that people respect *commandments* and *statutes* as something religion should be doing; but so to speak one does not need religion for the civil laws since man would create them anyway. Thus people *belittle civil laws*. Hence Rambam sees the mention of *belittling* (Rashi's *commandments which people belittle*) from the verse emphasis on civil laws.

Rambam points out that *heels* at the bottom or end of the body could indicate the *end* and *consummation* of a matter, its *reward*. For example, the word *acharith* which comes from the word *achar* means *reward*.

Hence Ramban interprets the verse: As a reward for listening to the civil laws which people belittle, God will guard the covenant.

Kli Yakar Text: Kli Yakar argues using the principle of synecdoche (good example). For example, an *overcoat* is the clothing that goes *over* everything else and is a good example of *over*. Similarly, *glass* (as in *glass of water*) is a good example of a utensil typically made of *glass*. Kli Yakar argues that the *heels* are the commandments which typically are belittled and trampled on with ones heels. He interprets the verse: *If* you listen to the heel commandments, along with the civil laws, God will guard the covenant.

Conclusion: My own opinion, is that the KliYakar is slightly forced in rendering the verse, though he bases himself on precedent.

I believe the best way to approach the verse is to combine Ramban and Rashiyomi: i) The parallelism emphasizes civil laws which people think should not be in religion, ii) the paragogic nun emphasizes *intensely* listening (even to light matters).