**The 10 RashiYomi Rules** *Their presence in Rashis on <u>VaYayShev</u>* **Vol 21#02**- Adapted from **Rashi-is-Simple** (c) RashiYomi Incorporated, Dr. Hendel President, Nov 20th, 2013 For the full copyright statement see the Appendix

## Useful URLS:,

Rashiyomi Website: This week's issue: Former week's issue: Old weekly Rashis: Rashi short e-course: <<u>http://www.RashiYomi.Com></u> <<u>http://www.Rashiyomi.com/rule2102.pdf></u> <<u>http://www.Rashiyomi.com/rule.htm></u> <<u>http://www.Rashiyomi.com/rule.htm></u>

http://www.Rashiyomi.com/RashiShortGuideHTMLBook.htm <<u>http://www.Rashiyomi.com/rule.htm</u>> Hebrew-English Rashi: <a href="http://www.chabad.org/library/bible\_cdo/aid/63255/jewish/The-Bible-with-Rashi.htm">http://www.Rashiyomi.com/rule.htm</a>

## GOALS

The goal of the Weekly Rashi Digest is to use the weekly Torah portion to expose students at all levels to the ten major methods of Rashi's commentary. Continual weekly exposure to these ten major methods facilitate the acquisition, familiarity, and facility with the major exegetical methods.

We are currently in our 5 year, half-year cycle:

- \* For period: Oct 2012 May 2013 we studied the Grammar rule
- \* For period: June 2013 Sep 2013 we studied the Reference rule
- \* For period: Oct 2013 May 2013 we will study the Parallelism rule

We are also devoting this series to home-schoolers. The Rashis will be presented in home-schooling format and can be used on any age group above 5. Nevertheless, the scholarly aspect of the Rashi will not be ignored: Citations and references are very popular in Rabbinic sermons and in Talmudic passages.

Here is a very simple example of parallelism: Gn49-11 states (Because of the plenty in Judah's reign) <u>Clothes</u> are washed in <u>wine</u> (Because of the plenty in Judah's reign) <u>"Suth</u>" [are washed] in <u>blood-of-grapes</u>

Rashi comment: <u>Wine</u> is parallel to <u>blood-of-grapes</u>, showing that blood-of-grapes means wine Similarly, <u>Clothes</u> are parallel to <u>suth</u> showing that suth means cloathing.

Thus the parallelism method explores repeated verse phrases and allows inferences based on the repeated passages.

As usual, when making tansitions in the Rashi Newsletter we welcome positive and negative comments as well as requests. Please send all comments to RashiYomi(@GMail.Com.

## Subscribe / Unsubscribe: Email

RashiYomi@GMail.Com <mailto:RashiYomi@GMail.Com>

# Reference - Daily Rashi Sunday Nov 24th, 2013

#### Rashis covered: Gn37-07b,c

<u>Background</u>: Joseph dreamt about sheaves. His sheaves stood up and all other sheaves bowed to his sheaf.

<u>Commonality</u>: Both these verse phrases speak about the sheaves in Joseph's dream standing upright.

Questions: After reviewing the two verse phrases discuss the following

- 1) What is the same in the verses
- 2) What is different in the verses

3) How would *you* explain the difference (Hint: You may have to look up the verses to ascertain their context)

After answering these questions, we will see how the great Rashi resolved them. Although Rashi's answer is deeper, it is important for readers of Rashi to practice answering the Rashi questions by themselves in order to sharpen their textual focus thereby enriching the Rashi experience.

- Gn37-07 My sheaf became erect
- Gn32-07 and also stood

Here are the answers to the questions.

- 1) Both verse speak about the sheaf assuming an upright position
- 2) The differences between the two phrases is in nuance.
- The first phrase mentions the act of becoming erect
- The second phrase mentions the permanance of remaining erect.

Here is how we would translate the verse phrases to reflect this nuance:

- Gn37-07 My sheaf became erect
- Gn32-07 and also *remained* standing

<u>Comment</u>: The difference - *became erect, remained erect* - is something subtle, not explicit in the verses. If it is not explicit, what gives Rashi the right to so interpret?

The answer to this is very deep. It is part of the parallelism rule that the very *presence* of parallelism justifies that the 2nd half be interpreted as an extension of the 1st half even if the words don't explicitly justify this. In other words, parallelism is not just a statement about meaning but also about position. The 2nd repetition itself has a meaning, namely, that it extends the meaning of the first half.

This important point about parallelism occurs explicitly in the following book. In fact, this is one of Kugel's contributions to our understanding of parallelism.

### Reference

James L Kugel, *The Idea of Biblical Poetry, Parallelism and Its History*, Yale University, 1981.

# Reference - Daily Rashi Monday November 25, 2013

Rashis covered: Gn37-07d

Background: Today's verse discusses how Joseph's brothers hated him.

<u>Commonality</u>: Both the following phrases describe "Why Joseph's brothers hated him"

Questions: After reviewing the two phrases discuss the following

- 1) What is the same in both verses
- 2) What is different in both verses

3) How would *you* explain the difference (Hint: You may have to look up the supportive verses, cited afterwards, to ascertain context)

After answering these questions, we will see how the great Rashi resolved them. Although Rashi's answer is deeper it is important for readers of Rashi to practice answering the Rashi questions by themselves in order to sharpen their textual focus thereby enriching the Rashi experience.

- Gn37-07 And Joseph's brothers hated him
- <u>because</u> of this *dreams*
- and <u>because</u> of his *bad-mouthing* them

Here are the answers to the questions.

1) Both verse phrases describe why Joseph's brothers hated him.

2) The two phrases speak about two distinct items

- The brothers hated Joseph because of his dreams
- The brothers hated Joseph because he bad-mouthed and slandered them to their father.

How did Rashi know that the two phrases - dreams, bad-mouthing - were *different* causes rather then the same? That is, why didn't Rashi simply interpret "The brothers hated Joseph because of his dreams which bad-mouthed the brothers to their father?"

On a superficial level Rashi knew this because it explicitly says in **Gn37-02** Joseph was 17 years old ... he was immature ... he bad-mouthed his brothers to their father. Here Rashi uses the **reference** principle.

But there is a deeper level. Notice how the two phrases - *dreams, bad-mouthing* - are *both* introduced by the connective word *because*. This too is a principle of parallelism mentioned in the article below:

- When the connective word is repeated it creates a bullet effect; the two phrases are distinct
- When there is one connective word to two items, then the two items are synonymous (referring to each other).

## Reference

Russell Jay Hendel; *Biblical Formatting*, **The Jewish Bible Quarterly**, 35(1), pp. 17-27, 2007.

# Reference - Daily Rashi Tuesday, November 26, 2013

Rashis covered: Gn37-10

Commonality: Both these verses describe to whom Joseph told his dreams.

<u>Questions</u>: After reviewing the two verses discuss the following

- 1) What is the same in both phrases
- 2) What is difference in both phrases
- 3) How would you explain the difference (Hint: You may have to look up the verses

to ascertain their context)

After answering these questions, we will see how the great Rashi resolved them.

Although Rashi's answer is deeper it is important for readers of Rashi to practice answering the Rashi questions by themselves in order to sharpen their textual focus thereby enriching the Rashi experience.

- Gn37-09 Joseph had another dream
- Gn37-09 He told it to his *brothers*
- Gn37-10 He told it to his *father and brothers*

This is what Rashi says:

- Joseph told over his dream twice
- First he told it to his brothers (who did not like it)
- Then he told it *again* to this brothers in their father's presence.

As in Gn37-07, Rashi here uses parallelism to justify a *twoness* in the act - he told the dream twice, once to this brothers and once to his brothers and father.

# Reference - Daily Rashi Wednesday Nov 27, 2013

Rashis covered: Gn37-23

Today's Rashi illustrates learning grammar from parallelism.

Commonality: Both these verse phrases speak about Joseph's coat.

Questions: After reviewing the two words discuss the following

1) What is the same in both verses

2) What is different in both verses

3) How would *you* explain the difference (Hint: You may have to look up the verses to ascertain their context)

After answering these questions, we will see how the great Rashi resolved them. Although Rashi's answer is deeper it is important for readers of Rashi to practice answering the Rashi questions by themselves in order to sharpen their textual focus thereby enriching the Rashi experience.

- Gn37-23
- *They took Joseph's coat* [Hebrew: *Ketoneth*]
- *They dipped the coat* [Hebrew: *Kutoneth*] *in blood.*

Before we explain Rashi, recall that in every language there are

- nouns, describing objects (e.g. *the shirt*)
- construct states of nouns, describing objects as properties (e.g. *the shirt of so and so*)

In

- English, the construct state is indicated by *of*
- Hebrew, the construct state is indicated by different vocalization.

Hence the Rashi comment

- *Kutoneth* is a noun
- *Ketoneth* is a noun in construct state.

# APPENDIX

#### THE 10 RASHI RULE CATEGORIES / THE 30 RASHI RULES

#### Copyright 2001, Rashiyomi Inc., Dr Hendel President, <u>www.Rashiyomi.com/rules-01.htm</u> NOTE ON COPYRIGHTS:

This particular appendix, like many portions of the Rashiyomi website, are protected by a paid copyright. However, we clarify that the intent of Rashiyomi copyright statements is the intent expressed in the creative commons copyright statement, the full statement of which may be found at <<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/legalcode></u> and the human readable summary which may be found at <<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/legalcode></u> and the human readable summary which may be found at <<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/legalcode></u> and the human readable summary which may be found at <<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/></u>. The basic intent is: (1) (by) any citation of Rashiyomi explanations, rules etc should acknowledge the Rashiyomi website as the author by giving its URL: <u><http://www.Rashiyomi.com></u> (or the specific page on the website); (2) (nc) It is prohibited for anyone to use the material on this website for commercial use, that is to derive monetary gain from it; (3) (sa)while people are encouraged to cite paragraphs of explanations from Rashiyomi in their own works, they must share their works in a similar manner under the creative commons agreement, <u>cc by nc sa version 3.0</u>; they must cite the urls for the Rashiyomi website and the creative commons website. In short our intention is to facilitate distribution of Torah educational material and not inhibit that dissfribution with monetary interests or lack of acknowledgement. For precise legal details see the URLs cited earlier. The contents of this paragraph govern all future uses of Rashiyomi material and take precedence (or clarify and explain) already existing copyrights as well as permissions given in private emails.

I-REFERENCE: Dt26-05d We went down to Egypt with <u>a few people</u> explained by Gn46-27: with <u>70 people</u>

#### II-MEANING / Lexicography / Dictionary: EXAMPLE (Connectives) KI means

IF,PERHAPS,RATHER,BECAUSE,WHEN,THAT (Rashi on Gn18-15a Gn24-33a ) **EXAMPLE (Nuances)**: YDA means FAMILIAR, not KNOW (eg Dt34-10a) eg Gn04-01 Adam was FAMILIAR with his wife **EXAMPLE** (**Idioms**) ON THE FACE OF means DURING THE LIFETIME (Rashi on Nu03-04a Gn11-28a Ex20-03c Dt05-07a) **EXAMPLE (Synonyms)** *Marchesheth* means pot; *Machavath* means frying pan (Lv02-05a, 07a) **EXAMPLE (Hononyms)** SHAMAH can mean listen, hear, understand: (Gn42-23a) *They didn't appreciate that Joseph understood them* (Note: They knew he was listening) **EXAMPLE (Metonomy)** (Lv02-11a) *Don't offer* ...any <u>honey</u> as sacrifices RASHI: honey includes any <u>sweet fruit juice</u>

**III-GRAMMAR: EXAMPLE**: BA-ah means CAME;ba-AH means COMING(Gn46-26a) **EXAMPLE:** Hitpael conjugation has different rules if 1st root letter is Tzade (Gn44-16a)

**IV-PARALLELISM:** (Ex20-04) Dont **POSSESS** the gods of others Dont **MAKE** idols RASHI: So both **POSSESSion & MAKING** of idols are prohibited

**V-CONTRADICTION:** (Nu04-03, Nu08-24a)Levites start Temple work at 25; Levites start temple work at 30. RASHI: They apprentice at 25 but start actual service at 30.

**VI-STYLE: RABBI ISHMAEL RULES: EXAMPLE**: (Simple verses should be generalized): (Rashi Pesachim 6) (Dt25-04a) *Dont MUZZLE an OX while THRESHING* RASHI: Dont STOP any WORKING ANIMAL from eating

VII-FORMATTING: EXAMPLE (*BOLD indicated by Repetition*): Ex12-09c) COOK COOK it in water (So COOKED-COOKED is understood the same way bold is understood by modern reader) RASHI: Prefered to COOK it in water; But COOK it at all costs(Even if you dont have water) EXAMPLE: (*BULLETS indicated by Repeating keywords*) (Ex03-11a) Who am I - THAT I should go to Pharaoh - THAT I should take the Jews out of Egypt RASHI: Repeated word THAT creates BULLET effect - Pharoh was a difficult king (Bullet one) - Jews were not yet ready for freedom (Bullet two) EXAMPLE (*Climax assumed in any Biblical list*): (Dt19-11a) *If a man HATES, SPIES, CONFRONTS & KILLS.* RASHI: Bible identifies 4 stages to murder(indicated by capped words

**VIII-DATABASE: EXAMPLE:** God spoke to Moses to say over introduces about 7 dozen biblical commandments; God spoke to Aaron to say over only introduces 2 commandments. RASHI: (Lv10-03b) Aaron was silent when his sons died because they served in the Temple drunk; hence he merited that the commandment prohibiting priests to work in the Temple drunk, was given to him

**IX-NON VERSE: EXAMPLE:** (Use of Algebra)(Ex38-26b) *Temple donations of silver were 100 Kikar and 1775 Shekel from 630,550 half-shekels* RASHI: So one Kikar of silver = 3000 Shekel.

X: SYMBOLISM: EXAMPLE: (Use of puns) (NuXX-XX) Moses made a copper snake for people to look up to when bitten by snakes (so they should pray and recover) RASHI: (Nu21-09a) The Hebrew root for copper and snake are identical (Cf. The English *copperhead*) Moses made the metal snake copper colored to symbolize the snake